	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/845,526	DULL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Venkataraman Balasubramanian	1624
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowance</u>		
(1) <u>Venkataraman Balasubramanian</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>David Bradin</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 February 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applican	olicant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
	÷	
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Deletion of non-elected species in claim 75 was discussed. (75. Such will be done by examiner's amendment.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview 		
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
	· •	
	•	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applie	cant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)